Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

Quality Improvement: Administrative Authority of the Single State Medicaid Agency

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Administrative Authority

The Medicaid Agency retains ultimate administrative authority and responsibility for the operation of the waiver program by exercising oversight of the performance of waiver functions by other state and local/regional non-state agencies (if appropriate) and contracted entities.

i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Performance measures for administrative authority should not duplicate measures found in other appendices of the waiver application. As necessary and applicable, performance measures should focus on:

- Uniformity of development/execution of provider agreements throughout all geographic areas covered by the waiver
- Equitable distribution of waiver openings in all geographic areas covered by the waiver
- Compliance with HCB settings requirements and other new regulatory components (for waiver actions submitted on or after March 17, 2014)

Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Data Source (Select one):

Other

AA-1: IME shall measure the number and percent of required MCO HCBS PM quarterly reports that are submitted timely. Numerator = # of HCBS PM quarterly reports submitted timely; Denominator = # of MCO HCBS PM quarterly reports due in a calendar quarter.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	₹ 100% Review
Operating Agency	✓ Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =

	Annual	ly.	Stratified
Specify:	V ** 50		Describe Group: .
MCOs			, de
PANSEEN PANSEEN PANSEEN VIEW VERSCHEICH VOOr VERSCHEICH VOOr VIEW VERSCHEICH VERSCHEICH VOOr de verscheid voor de verscheid ve	Continu	lously and	Other
	Ongoin	-	Specify:
		8)	a sala na na sana na Sana na
	ECC.		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CE-nt48H070H0HH4FAFAided+-thiblidhebhildhebhildhebianhr7thinnanninisanHhan-himaisanimasanyausa	Other	where some commencement have selected and the security of the demand of the security of the se	4 442 TENNES TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL PROPERTY TO THE TO
	Specify:		
	Specify.		
	Even 1144		· verification of the state of
			
ata Aggregation and An esponsible Party for dat nd analysis (check each t.	a aggregation		f data aggregation and ck each that applies;:
State Medicaid Agen		Weekly	a . It is a superior to the superior of the
Operating Agency	i Herikani Ashanin da Yashin ilada Arabir pada libu ilada ila Pareniada Arabir da da	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	ANNEW (AN ESCHARACEAN ANN AN TO PARTIE AN TO CHARACTER AND CHARACTER AND CHARACTER AND CHARACTER AND CHARACTER	Z Quarterly	
Other	(CONTRA NO CONT ENSA (CONTRA CONTRA	Annual	
Specify:		And	
este Menimum emir silve este est entre en el el el el el entre entre en el el el el el entre de en el el el el e	A.		
SA MANAGRAM COMMUNICATION CONTRACTOR OF A MANAGEMENT CONTRACTOR OF A COMMUNICATION CONTRACTOR OF A COMMUNICATION CO	u/Michael Arthur (Albania)	Continu	iously and Ongoing
ANTAKAN TERPERATURAK TERPERATURKAN PERSENTAN TERPERATURKAN TERPERATURKAN CERUPAK I ILIPATA DIREKUMAN PERSENTAN	realisedomente esta esta esta esta esta esta esta es	Other	
		Specify:	
		Specify:	
		Specify:	
A-2: The IME shall mean at each MCO reported a ICO entered all required ouths in a calendar quar rata Source (Select one):	ll HCBS PM d HCBS PM dat	er and percent	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea
at each MCO reported a ICO entered all required conths in a calendar quar eata Source (Select one): Other	all HCBS PM dat HCBS PM dat ter.	er and percent	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea
A-2: The IME shall mean at each MCO reported a ICO entered all required onths in a calendar quar rata Source (Select one): other 'Other' is selected, specify ICO performance monit	dl HCBS PM dat HCBS PM dat ter.	er and percent ata measures. a; Denominat	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea or = # of reportable HCBS F
A-2: The IME shall mean that each MCO reported a ICO entered all required onths in a calendar quar rata Source (Select one): Other Other' is selected, specify ICO performance monitor Responsible Party for lata collection/generation	all HCBS PM dater. The pring Frequency of collection/ge	er and percent ata measures. a; Denominat f data neration	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea
A-2: The IME shall means at each MCO reported a CO entered all required on this in a calendar quartata Source (Select one): ther 'Other' is selected, specify ICO performance monito Responsible Party for that a collection/generation check each that applies):	all HCBS PM dater. The pring Frequency of collection/ge (check each to the collection)	er and percent ata measures. a; Denominat f data neration	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea or = # of reportable HCBS P Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
A-2: The IME shall mean that each MCO reported a ICO entered all required touths in a calendar quar thata Source (Select one):	all HCBS PM dater. The pring Frequency of collection/ge	er and percent ata measures. a; Denominat f data neration	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea or = # of reportable HCBS P
A-2: The IME shall mean at each MCO reported a CO entered all required on this in a calendar quarata Source (Select one): ther 'Other' is selected, specify ICO performance monito Responsible Party for that a collection/generation check each that applies): State Medicaid	all HCBS PM dater. The pring Frequency of collection/ge (check each to the collection)	er and percent ata measures. a; Denominat f data neration hat applies):	of months in a calendar qua Numerator = # of months ea or = # of reportable HCBS P Sampling Approach(check each that applies):

Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
▼ Other	Annually	Stratified
Specify:	Military and the second	Describe Group:
MCO		e ⁴⁴ −. · . · · · · ·
	Continuously and	Other
	Continuously and	Specify:
	Continuously and	
	Continuously and	Specify:
	Continuously and	Specify:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Specify:
	Continuously and Ongoing Other	Specify:
	Continuously and Ongoing Other Specify:	Specify:

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Through the Bureau of Managed Care each MCO is assigned state staff as the contract manager; and other state staff are assigned to aggregate and analyze MCO data. This staff oversees the quality and timeliness of monthly reporting requirements. Whenever data is late or missing the issues are immediately addressed by each MCO account manager to the respective MCO.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information
regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide
information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

If the contract manager, or policy staff as a whole, discovers and documents a repeated deficiency in performance of the MCO, a plan for improved performance is developed. In addition, repeated deficiencies in contractual performance may result in a withholding of payment compensation.

General methods for problem correction include revisions to state contract terms based on lessons learned.

Frequency of data aggregation and

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):

		analysis(check each that applies):	
		Weekly	
	Operating Agency	Monthly	·
	Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	
	Other Specify: Contracted Entity including MCOs	Annually	
		Continuously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify:	
	the State does not have all elements of the Qual ds for discovery and remediation related to the onal.	lity Improvement Strategy in place, provide time assurance of Administrative Authority that are c	
		dministrative Authority, the specific timeline for for its operation.	implementing
a phi dia a chi a the a canada a			Mar.
`m = -	D. F		

Appendix B: Evaluation/Reevaluation of Level of Care

Quality Improvement: Level of Care

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Level of Care Assurance/Sub-assurances

The state demonstrates that it implements the processes and instrument(s) specified in its approved waiver for evaluating/reevaluating an applicant's/waiver participant's level of care consistent with level of care provided in a hospital, NF or 1CF/IID.

i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: An evaluation for LOC is provided to all applicants for whom there is reasonable indication that services may be needed in the future.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

LC-al: IME will measure the number and percent of approved LOC decisions. Numerator: # of completed LOC; Denominator: # of referrals for LOC.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

FFS and MCO members will be pulled from ISIS for this measure. IME MSU completes all initial level of care determinations for both FFS and MCO nonulations.

populations.		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies)
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	☑ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =

Other Specify: contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

,	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

b. Sub-assurance: The levels of care of enrolled participants are reevaluated at least annually or as specified in the approved waiver.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

c. Sub-assurance: The processes and instruments described in the approved waiver are applied appropriately and according to the approved description to determine participant level of care.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate

Performance Measure:

LC-c1: The IME shall determine the number and percent of initial level of care decisions that were accurately determined by applying the approved LOC criterion using standard operating procedures. Numerator: # of of LOC decisions that were accurately determined by applying the correct criteria as defined in the waiver; Denominator: # of reviewed LOC determinations.

Data Source (Select one): Other

lſ	'Oth:	er' is	sele	cted.	specify:
F 1%	FF 1	AG BE	FFS	and	OnBace

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	☑ Monthly	✓ Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contractor entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
again dia dia dia mangana mangana dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia di	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
NETOCOMENTO CON LICENTE POR LICENTATION OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT CON	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ti. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Data for completed LOC is collected quarterly through reports generated through ISIS, MQUIDS, and OnBase. This data is monitored for trends from an individual and systems perspective to determine in procedural standards.

Monthly a random sample of LOC decisions is selected from each reviewer. IQC activity is completed on the random sample. This level of scrutiny aids in early detection of variance from the stated LOC criteria.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information
regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide
information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
 The state's Medical Services Unit performs internal quality reviews of initial and annual level of care
determinations to ensure that the proper criteria are applied. In instances when it is discovered that this has
not occurred, the unit undertakes additional training for staff.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Level of Care that are currently non-operational.

(@	Ne	
	Yes	
	Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Level of Care, the specific timeline for implementing ider	ntified
	strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.	
		<u>^</u>
		4

Appendix C: Participant Services

Quality Improvement: Qualified Providers

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Qualified Providers

The state demonstrates that it has designed and implemented an adequate system for assuring that all waiver services are provided by qualified providers.

i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-Assurance: The State verifies that providers initially and continually meet required licensure and/or certification standards and adhere to other standards prior to their furnishing waiver services.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

QP-a1: The IME will measure the number and percent of licensed or certification waiver provider enrollment applications verified against the appropriate licensing and/or certification entity. Numerator = # and percent of waiver providers verified against appropriate licensing and/or certification entity prior to providing services. Denominator = # of licensed or certified waiver providers.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Encounter data, claims data and enrollment information out of ISIS. All MCO

HCBS providers must be enrolled as verified by the IME PS. Responsible Party for Frequency of data Sampling Approach data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies). √ 100% Review State Medicaid Weekly Agency Operating Agency Monthly Less than 100% Review Sub-State Entity Representative Quarterly Sample Confidence Interval = 🗸 Other Annually Stratified Specify: Describe Contracted entity Group: including MCO Continuously and Other Ongoing Specify:

Size and a	Other	
	Specify:	
opposite the second sec	ý.	

bata Aggregation and Analysis:		
Responsible Party for data eggregation and analysis (check each hat applies):	Frequency of data aggregation at analysis (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	
Operating Agency	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	₹ Quarterly	
Other Specify:	Annually	
	Continuously and Ongoing	
000-018-018-018-018-018-018-018-018-018-	Other Specify:	
	,	

b. Sub-Assurance: The State monitors non-licensed/non-certified providers to assure adherence to waiver requirements.

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

QP-b1: The IME shall determine the number and percent of CDAC providers that met waiver requirements prior to direct service delivery. Numerator = # of CDAC providers who met waiver requirements prior to service delivery; Denominator = # of CDAC enrolled providers.

Data Source (Selec	t one):			
Other				
If 'Other' is selected.	specify:			
Encounter data, cla	aims data ar	id enrollment ii	iformation	out of ISIS. All MCO
HCBS providers m	ust be enrol	led as verified l	y the IME	PS.
			Ĭ	

Responsible Party for

data

collection/generation (check each that applies):	(check each	that applies):	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
State Medicaid Agency	Week	ź.	✓ 100% Review
Operating Agency	V Month	ŢÂ.	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarte	erly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contract entity	Anna	Đγ	Stratified Describe Group:
	Contin Ongoin	nously and ng	Other Specify:
	Other Specify	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Ţ.	:
Data Aggregation and Analysis: Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):			
State Medicaid Agen	State Medicaid Agency		
Operating Agency		Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	THE	₹ Quarter	ły
Other Specify:		Annuali	y

Continuously and Ongoing

Other Specify:

Frequency of data

collection/generation

Sampling Approach

(check each that applies):

c. Sub-Assurance: The State implements its policies and procedures for verifying that provider training is conducted in accordance with state requirements and the approved waiver.

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

QP-c1: The IME will measure the total number and percent of providers, specific by waiver, that meet training requirements as outlined in State regulations. Numerator = # of reviewed HCBS providers which did not have a corrective action plan issued related to training; Denominator = # of HCBS waiver providers that had a certification or periodic quality assurance review.

Data Source (Select one):
Record reviews, off-site
If 'Other' is selected, specify:
Provider's evidence of staff training and provider training policies. All certified and periodic reviews are conducted on a 5 year cycle; at the end of the cycle all providers are reviewed.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid	Weekly	✓ 100% Review
Agency		
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contracted	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:		
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):	
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	
Operating Agency	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	☑. Quarterly	
Other Specify:	Annually	
	Continuously and Ongoing	
	Other Specify:	

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The IME Provider Services unit is responsible for review of provider licensing, certification, background checks of relevant providers, and determining compliance with provider service and business requirements prior to initial enrollment and reenrollment.

All MCO providers must be enrolled as verified by IME Provider Services.

The Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) quality oversight unit is responsible for reviewing provider records at a 100% level over a three to five year cycle, depending on certification or accreditation. If it is discovered that providers are not adhering to provider training requirements, a corrective action plan is implemented. If corrective action attempts do not correct noncompliance, the provider is sanctioned for noncompliance and eventually disenvolled or terminated if noncompliance persists.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

If it is discovered by Provider Services Unit during the review that the provider is not compliant in one of the enrollment and reenrollment state or federal provider requirements, the provider is required to correct deficiency prior to enrollment or reenrollment approval. Until the provider make these corrections, they are ineligible to provide services to waiver members. All MCO providers must be enrolled as verified by IME Provider Services, so if the provider is no longer enrolled by the IME then that provider is no longer eligible to enroll with an MCO.

If it is discovered during HCBS Quality Oversight Unit review that providers are not adhering to provider training requirements, a corrective action plan is implemented. If corrective action attempts do not correct noncompliance, the provider is sanctioned for noncompliance and eventually disenrolled or terminated is noncompliance persists.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and required changes in individual provider policy.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
▼ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: contracted entity and MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
er to en execute ammente consequences con consequences consideres de broads de conde-recibility y dest provide de high della Exemple of entre consequences con exemple entre consequences consequences con exemple entre consequences consequences con exemple entre consequences con e	Other Specify:
	بخم. خي

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Qualified Providers that are currently non-operational.

2		
	No	
	Yes	
	Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Qualified Providers, the specific timeline for implementing	
	identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.	
		e ^{gh} .
		12

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

Quality Improvement: Service Plan

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Service Plan Assurance/Sub-assurances

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for reviewing the adequacy of service plans for waiver participants.

i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: Service plans address all participants' assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and personal goals, either by the provision of waiver services or through other means.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site

SP-a: The IME shall measure the number and percent of service plans that accurately reflect the member's assessed needs. The assessed needs must include, at a minimum, personal goals, health risks, and safety risks. Numerator = # of service plans that address all member assessed needs including health and safety risks, and personal goals. Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

If 'Other' is selected, specific person-centered plans and		of the depart	ment approved assessmen	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency collection/g (check each		Sampling Approach (check each that applies)	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekl	y	100% Review	
Operating Agency	✓ Month	p.A.	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	C Quarte	er)y	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%	
Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annua	liy .	Stratified Describe Group:	
	Contin Ongoir	uous)y and ng	Other Specify:	
	Other Specify	/:		
Data Aggregation and Ana Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis that applies):	8		f data aggregation and ck each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agen	су	Weekly		
Operating Agency	ACCOUNTS TO SECURE OF THE SECURIOR OF THE SECU	Monthi	nereteeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseeranseer S	
Sub-State Entity	ekolonikoankouwa wezankia oznikiwaliowa zwieleko wa wezanki ilia	⊋ Quartei		
Other Specify:	Carange and the Carange and Ca	Annual	ly	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis/check each that applies):
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

 Sub-assurance: The State monitors service plan development in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

c. Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participant's needs.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-c2: The IME will measure the number and percent of service plans which are updated on or before the member's annual due date. Numerator = # of service plans updated prior to due date; Denominator = # of service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specify:

person-centered plans and the results of the department approved assessment				
data	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):		
(check each that applies):				
	Weekly	100% Review		

State Medicaid Agency	reference remains and de account	
Operating Agency	Monthly Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and	Other
	Ongoing	Specify:
	Other Specify:	
	<u> </u>	1

D	al	a	4	8	eg	a i	Ĺ	0.	Ŋ.	2	Ļ	ΩЦ	d_/	lna.	ys.	S.

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-c1: The IME will measure the number and percent of service plans which were revised when warranted by a change in the member's needs. Numerator = # of service plans updated or revised when warranted by changes to the member's needs. Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site				
If 'Other' is selected, specif	y			
person-centered plans an	d the results	of the depart	ment approved assessmen	
Responsible Party for	Frequency of data Sampling Approach			
data	collection/g		(check each that applies):	
collection/generation	(check each	that applies):	Constant of the Constant of th	
(check each that applies):	personance install records and developmen	ek mannel i madellistere et kenne selver i konstanien til besvat fledstand skell bellembere b		
State Medicaid	Weekl	y	100% Review	
Agency		NONECONAMINACIA MINISTERA CONTRACTOR CONTRAC		
Operating Agency	✓ Month	ķā,	Less than 100%	
			Review	
Sub-State Entity	Quarte	erly	✓ Representative	
			Sample	
			Confidence	
	fade	•	Interval =	
grooppersoner or continue and a continue and the chart continue of the continu	**************************************		5%	
	Annua	person Transfer	Stratified	
Specify:			Describe	
Contracted entity			Group:	
including MCO			<u> </u>	
	Contin	uously and	Other	
	Ongoir		Specify:	
	J	-5	M.	
			-	
	Other	nni siindonkii sakatai sakatai kahtai kansiisi siisaka daa ta kahtai kahtai kahtai kahtai kahtai kahtai kahtai	And the state of t	
			والمتابعة	
	Specify	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	424.5	
		a a c	Principles	
	<u>,</u>	**		
Data Aggregation and Ana Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis that applies):	ia		of data aggregation and ck each that applies):	
✓ State Medicaid Agen	ey	Weekly		
Operating Agency	ATTERNATURAL AND	Month	THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	
Sub-State Entity		Quarterly		
Other		Annual	ly	
Specify:				
;	<i>*</i> *			
	¥*			
		Continu	uously and Ongoing	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Other		
		Specify	•	
		-		

Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<u> </u>

d. Sub-assurance: Services are delivered in accordance with the service plan, including the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in the service plan.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-d1: The IME will measure the # and percent of members' service plans that identify all the following elements: * amount, duration, and funding sources of all services * all services authorized in the service plan were provided as verified by supporting documentation. Numerator: # members receiving services authorized in their service plan; Denominator = # of service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:
Service plans are requested from the case managers, with service provision documentation requested from providers

Responsible Party for Frequency of data Sampling Approach collection/generation (check each that applies):

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	: Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	₩ Monthly	✓ Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

Other	
Specify:	
. A	00.0
: 40, 46, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40	

Data Aggregation and Analysis: Frequency of data aggregation and Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each analysis(check each that applies): that applies): State Medicaid Agency Weekly Monthly Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Annually Specify: Continuously and Ongoing Other Specify:

e. Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice: Between/among waiver services and providers.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-e1: The IME will measure the number and percentage of members from the HCBS IPES who responded that they had a choice of services. Numerator = # of IPES respondents who stated that they were a part of planning their services; Denominator = # of IPES respondents that answered the question asking if they were a part of planning their services.

Data Source (Select one):
Analyzed collected data (including surveys, focus group, interviews, etc)
If 'Other' is selected, specify:
FS HCBS UNIT QA survey data and MCO IPES databases

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	∠ Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:
	<u> </u>

Performance Measure:

SP-e2: The IME will measure the number and percentage of service plans from the HCBS QA survey review that indicated the member had a choice of providers. Numerator: The total number of service plans reviewed which demonstrate choice of HCBS service providers; Denominator: The total number of service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, off-site If 'Other' is selected, specify: FFS QA review of service plan stored in OnBase. MCO review services plans available through their system. Responsible Party for Frequency of data Sampling Approach data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies): 100% Review State Medicaid Weekly Agency Less than 100% Operating Agency Monthly Review Sub-State Entity Representative Quarterly Sample Confidence Interval = 5% √ Other Annually Stratified Specify: Describe Contracted entity Group: including MCO Continuously and Other Ongoing Specify: Other Specify: Data Aggregation and Analysis: Responsible Party for data Frequency of data aggregation and aggregation and analysis (check each analysis(check each that applies): that applies): State Medicaid Agency Weekly Operating Agency Monthly Sub-State Entity Quarterly Other Annually

Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<u> </u>	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:
	A.
	red.

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. MCOs are responsible for oversite of service plans for their members.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. MCOs are responsible for oversite of service plans for their members.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
₹ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	✓ Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annualiy
Contracted entity including MCOs	

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
PLE NOTE OF COLUMN AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY	Continuously and Ongoing Other
	Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Service Plans that are currently non-operational.

G.	No	
	Yes	
	Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Service Plans, the specific timeline for implementing identified	d
	strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.	
		A ^E
		g Chiq.

Appendix G: Participant Safeguards

Quality Improvement: Health and Welfare

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Health and Welfare

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for assuring waiver participant health and welfare. (For waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014, this assurance read "The State, on an ongoing basis, identifies, addresses, and seeks to prevent the occurrence of abuse, neglect and exploitation.")

i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: The state demonstrates on an ongoing basis that it identifies, addresses and seeks to prevent instances of abuse, neglect, exploitation and unexplained death. (Performance measures in this sub-assurance include all Appendix G performance measures for waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014.)

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

HW-a1: The IME will measure the total number and percent of IAC-defined major critical incidents requiring follow-up escalation that were investigated. Numerator = # of critical incidents that received follow-up as required; Denominator = # of critical incidents requiring follow-up escalation

Data Source (Select one): Critical events and incident reports If 'Other' is selected, specify: Data collected in the FFS and MCO CIR databases.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency collection/g (check each		Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekl	T.	✓ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Z Month	AMOUNTAIN PROTESTAL PROTES	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarte	er)y	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annua	By	Stratified Describe Group:
	Contin Ongoin	uously and ng	Other Specify:
	Other	<u>Personal de la companya de la compa</u>	No. 10 d. C. Maria de California de Californ
	Specify	·	
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis that applies):	ta (check each	analysis(chec	f data aggregation and ck each that applies):
State Medicaid Ager Operating Agency	ocy	Weekly Monthly	NOTO TO CONTINUE AND
Sub-State Entity	CENTERNI SELEPPEN PERENCENSI SERENZA SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN SELEPEN S	Quarter	
Other Specify:		Annuall	
	And the state of t	Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other Specify:	
			<u> </u>

Performance Measure:

HW-a2: The IME will measure CIs that identify a reportable event of abuse, neglect, exploitation, or unexplained death and were followed upon appropriately. Numerator = # of CIRs that identified a report was made to DHS protective services and/or appropriate follow up was initiated; Denominator = # of CIs that identified a reportable event of abuse, neglect, exploitation, and/or unexplained death

Data Source (Select one): Critical events and incident reports If 'Other' is selected, specify:

FFS and MCO CIR datal	pases	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	₹ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Z State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	₹ Quarterly

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

b. Sub-assurance: The state demonstrates that an incident management system is in place that effectively resolves those incidents and prevents further similar incidents to the extent possible.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

HW-b1: The IME will identify all unresolved critical incidents which resulted in a targeted review and were completed to resolution. Numerator = # of targeted reviews resulting from an incident which were resolved within 60 days; Denominator = # of critical incidents that resulted in a targeted review.

Data Source (Select one): Critical events and incident reports

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

FFS/HCBS Unit and MCO data obtained from CFR databases.

Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies): Weekly Monthly	Sampling Approach (check each that applies) 2 100% Review Less than 100%
Weekly	TO THE POST OF THE
Monthly	Less than 100%
age 14.00m cm;	Review
Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
	Quarterly

Specify: Contracted Entity including MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	₹ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Sub-assurance: The state policies and procedures for the use or prohibition of restrictive interventions (including restraints and seclusion) are followed.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

HW-c1: The IME will measure the total # & % of providers with policies for restrictive measures that are consistent with State and Federal policy and rules,

Data Source (Select one):

and followed as written. Numerator = # providers reviewed that have policies for restrictive measures that were implemented as written; Denominator = total # of providers reviewed that identified having policies for restrictive measures.

Record reviews, on-site If 'Other' is selected, specif Provider's policies and pr	ocedures. A			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency collection/g	of data	Sam	oviders are reviewe pling Approach ck each that applies).
State Medicaid Agency	Weekl	y	7	100% Review
Operating Agency	✓ Month	į.		Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarto	erly	Ponn referiber de militare de la fille de	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contracted Entity including MCO	Annua	lly	de la constanta de la constant	Stratified Describe Group:
	Contin Ongoin	uously and		Other Specify:
	Other Specify	Angura a sa a sa ana ana ana ana ana ana ana		
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis that applies):	a			a aggregation and ch that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agen	су	Weekly	CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	DD DANIER (I word Dahr West Charles and Add Charles Ch
Operating Agency		Month	V.	
Sub-State Entity		₹ Quarte	.J.	net Bekint Governt from the Color of Co
Other Specify:		Annual	jà.	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
THE STATE OF THE S	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:
	and the second s
	¥

d. Sub-assurance: The state establishes overall health care standards and monitors those standards based on the responsibility of the service provider as stated in the approved waiver.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

HW-d1: The IME will measure the number and percent of providers meeting state and federal requirements relative to individual waivers. Numerator = # of Quality Assurance reviews that did not receive a corrective action plan; Denominator = # of provider Quality Assurance Reviews completed.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

All QA reviews that don't result in a corrective action. All certified and periodic reviews are conducted on a 5 year cycle; at the end of the cycle all providers are reviewed.

i evieweu.		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	☑ 100% Review
Operating Agency	✓ Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
✓ Other	Annually	Stratified

Specify: Contracted Entity		Describe Group:
	Continuously and	Other
	Ongoing	Specify:
	1.017	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	and the state of t	
	Other	- WARRING PARTIES AND
	Specify:	
	£4.	
	Section of the sectio	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

·
Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Weekly
Monthly
☑ Quarterly
Annually
Continuously and Ongoing
Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The HCBS Quality Assurance unit and each MCO is responsible for monitoring and analyzing data associated with the major incidents reported for members on waivers. Data is pulled from the data warehouse and from MCO reporting on a regular basis for programmatic trends, individual issues and operational concerns. Reported incidents of abuse, medication error, death, rights restrictions, and restraints are investigated further by the HCBS Incident Reporting Specialist as each report is received. The analysis of this data is presented to the state on a quarterly basis.

The HCBS provider oversight unit, and each MCO, is responsible for conducting IPES interviews with waiver members. The IPES tool has been expanded based on the federal PES tool and thought to capture a more comprehensive view of Iowa's waiver population needs and issues. The IPES tool incorporates the seven principles of the Quality Framework and is able to adjust based on the member interviewed and service enrollment. HCBS Specialists conduct interviews either face-to-face or via telephone, to the discretion of the waiver member. All waiver members have the right to decline interview. The results of these interviews are presented to the state on a quarterly basis.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

The HCBS Incident Reporting Specialist and each MCO analyzes data for individual and systemic issues. Individual issues require communication with the case manager to document all efforts to remediate risk or concern. If a these efforts are not successful, staff continues efforts to communicate with the case manager, the case manager's supervisor, and protective services when necessary. All remediation efforts of this type are documented in the monthly and quarterly reports.

The HCBS Specialists conducting interviews conduct individual remediation to flagged questions. In the instance that a flagged question/response occurs, the Specialist first seeks further clarification from the member and provides education when necessary. Following the interview, the case manager is notified and information regarding remediation is required within 30 days. This data is stored in a database and reported to the state on a quarterly and annual basis. MCO are responsible for research and follow up to flagged responses.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and changes to provider policy.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	✓ Monthly
Sub-State Entity	₹ Quarterly
Other Specify:	∠ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Health and Welfare that are currently non-operational.

PCI	actorial.	
•	No	
	Yes	
	Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Health and Welfare, the specific timeline for implementing	
	identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.	
		.=
		\sim

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

Quality Improvement: Financial Accountability

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Financial Accountability Assurance:

The State must demonstrate that it has designed and implemented an adequate system for ensuring financial accountability of the waiver program. (For waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014, this assurance read "State financial oversight exists to assure that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver.")

i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: The State provides evidence that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver and only for services rendered. (Performance measures in this sub-assurance include all Appendix I performance measures for waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014.)

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

FA-a1: The IME will determine the number and percent of FFS reviewed claims supported by provider documentation. Numerator = # of reviewed paid claims where documents supports the units of service; Denominator = # of reviewed paid claims

Data Source (Select one):

Financial records (including expenditures)

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Program Integrity reviews claims and provider documentation for providers already under review.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	₩ Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contracted entity	∠ Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

I have designed by	Continuously and	✓ Other
	Ongoing	Specify: The Program Integrity Unit utilizes an algorithm that establishes providers exceeding the norm rate and unit charged. These providers are reviewed quarterly.
	Other Specify: quarterly across all waivers, annually for this waiver	

Data	Aggregation	and	Analysis
2000	WEST CRUMENT	auu	LEAR CERT SIS.

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Z State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
games y ameng pamen o sacre mai ros ang maren berman manadhir dhalam a miner a manadhir dha mas dhir an shibh ann dha manadhir dhalam dha dha manadhir dha manadh	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

FA-a2: The IME will determine the number of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organizations within the timeframes specified in the contract. Numerator = # of clean claims that are paid by the managed care organization within the timeframes specified in the contract; Denominator = # of Managed Care provider claims.

Data Source (Select one): Financial records (including expenditures) If 'Other' is selected, specify: lag report

data

Responsible Party for

collection/generation (check each that applies):	(check each	that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekl	ST	✓ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Month)y	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarte	erly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contracted Entity including MCO	: Annua	by	Stratified Describe Group:
	Contin Ongoir	uously and	Other Specify:
	Other		
	Specify	·	
Data Aggregation and An	alysis:		
Responsible Party for dataggregation and analysis that applies):			f data aggregation and ek each that applies):
State Medicaid Agen	ey'	Weekly	
Operating Agency		Monthly	7
Sub-State Entity	sirianathkannatila. Nà Bhuain th' Cathalas doi Castlaío thi Buthaít	√ Quarter	The state of the s
Other Specify:		Annuali	V.
		Continu	ously and Ongoing

Claims Data Adjudicated claims summary, claims aging summary, and claims

Sampling Approach

(check each that applies):

Frequency of data

collection/generation

Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check eac that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	<u></u>
	h (18 h) h (

b. Sub-assurance: The state provides evidence that rates remain consistent with the approved rate methodology throughout the five year waiver cycle.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

FA-b1: The IME will measure the number and percent of claims that are reimbursed according to the Iowa Administrative Code approved rate methodology for waiver services provided. Numerator = # of reviewed claims paid using IME-approved rate methodologies; Denominator = # of reviewed paid claims.

Data Source (Select one):

Financial records (including expenditures)

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies).
State Medicald Agency	Weekly	✓ 100% Review
Operating Agency	₹ Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Contracted Entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
nick (fillig de	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

Other Specify:	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR CONTRA	Continuously and Ongoing
No. Left was the first term to be a server of the server o	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

FA-b2: The IME will measure the number of capitation payments to the MCOs that are made in accordance with the CMS approved actuarially sound rate methodology. Numerator: # of Capitation payments made to the MCOs at the approved rates through the CMS certified MMIS. Denominator: # of capitation payments made through the CMS certified MMIS.

Data Source (Select one):

Financial records (including expenditures)

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MMIS

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	⊋ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =

Michael and Market Michael Michael Michael and Anna and Andrea and Anna and		
♥ Other	Annually	Stratified
Specify:		Describe
contracted entity		Group:
		200
	B	\ \tag{\psi}
	Continuously and	Other
	Ongoing	Specify:
		i i
	V-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P	Seg.
Makkatak digan kandak ningistah dalam mangung nyagdapa hang aya dalam baran sebandi dan hari kasada sensasa ba	Other	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
	Specify:	And the state of t
	47.	1

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
унация и стойну бай фай цен вод цог уну до чен об до до тупо об до до тупо об до тупо о	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The Program Integrity unit samples provider claims each quarter for quality. These claims are cross-walked with service documentation to determine the percentage of error associated with coding and documentation. This data is reported on a quarterly basis.

MCO claims data is compared to the contractual obligations for MCO timeliness of clean claim payments. Data is provided to the HCBS staff as well as to the Bureau of Managed Care.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
When the Program Integrity unit discovers situations where providers are missing documentation to support billing or coded incorrectly, monies are recouped and technical assistance is given to prevent future occurrence. When the lack of supporting documentation and incorrect coding appears to be pervasive, the Program Integrity Unit may review additional claims, suspend the provider payments; require screening of all claims, referral to MFCU, or provider suspension.

The data gathered from this process is stored in the Program Integrity tracking system and reported to the state on a quarterly basis.

If during the review of capitation payments the IME determines that a capitation was made in error, that claim is adjusted to create a corrected payment.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation Remediation-related Data Aggregation and A	nalysis (including trend identification)
Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Financial Accountability that are currently non-operational.

@ :	N_0
	16.7
	y es

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Financial Accountability, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (1 of 2)

Under §1915(c) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR §441.302, the approval of an HCBS waiver requires that CMS determine that the State has made satisfactory assurances concerning the protection of participant health and welfare, financial accountability and other elements of waiver operations. Renewal of an existing waiver is contingent upon review by CMS and a finding by CMS that the assurances have been met. By completing the HCBS waiver application, the State specifies how it has designed the waiver's critical processes, structures and operational features in order to meet these assurances.

Quality Improvement is a critical operational feature that an organization employs to continually determine whether it operates in accordance with the approved design of its program, meets statutory and regulatory assurances and requirements, achieves desired outcomes, and identifies opportunities for improvement.

CMS recognizes that a state's waiver Quality Improvement Strategy may vary depending on the nature of the waiver target population, the services offered, and the waiver's relationship to other public programs, and will extend beyond regulatory requirements. However, for the purpose of this application, the State is expected to have, at the minimum, systems in place to measure and improve its own performance in meeting six specific waiver assurances and requirements.

It may be more efficient and effective for a Quality Improvement Strategy to span multiple waivers and other long-term care services. CMS recognizes the value of this approach and will ask the state to identify other waiver programs and long-term care services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy.

Quality Improvement Strategy: Minimum Components

The Quality Improvement Strategy that will be in effect during the period of the approved waiver is described throughout the waiver in the appendices corresponding to the statutory assurances and sub-assurances. Other documents cited must be available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if appropriate).

In the QIS discovery and remediation sections throughout the application (located in Appendices A, B, C, D, G, and I) a state spells out:

- * The evidence based discovery activities that will be conducted for each of the six major waiver assurances:
- The *remediation* activities followed to correct individual problems identified in the implementation of each of the assurances;

In Appendix H of the application, a State describes (1) the system improvement activities followed in response to aggregated, analyzed discovery and remediation information collected on each of the assurances; (2) the correspondent roles/responsibilities of those conducting assessing and prioritizing improving system corrections and improvements; and (3) the processes the state will follow to continuously assess the effectiveness of the OIS and revise it as necessary and appropriate.

If the State's Quality Improvement Strategy is not fully developed at the time the waiver application is submitted, the state may provide a work plan to fully develop its Quality Improvement Strategy, including the specific tasks the State plans to undertake during the period the waiver is in effect, the major milestones associated with these tasks, and the entity (or entities) responsible for the completion of these tasks.

When the Quality Improvement Strategy spans more than one waiver and/or other types of long-term care services under the Medicaid State plan, specify the control numbers for the other waiver programs and/or identify the other long-term services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy. In instances when the QIS spans more than one waiver, the State must be able to stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program. Unless the State has requested and received approval from CMS for the consolidation of multiple waivers for the purpose of reporting, then the State must stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program, i.e., employ a representative sample for each waiver.

Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (2 of 2)

H-1: Systems Improvement

a. System Improvements

i. Describe the process(es) for trending, prioritizing, and implementing system improvements (i.e., design changes) prompted as a result of an analysis of discovery and remediation information.

The IME is the single state agency that retains administrative authority of Iowa's HCBS Waivers. Iowa remains highly committed to continually improve the quality of services for all waiver programs. The IME discovered over the course of submitting previous 1915(c) waiver evidence packages that previously developed performance measures were not adequately capturing the activities of the IME. For this reason, state staff developed new performance measures to better capture the quality processes that are already occurring or being developed. The QIS developed by Iowa stratifies all 1915(c) waivers:

IA.0213, HCBS AIDS/HIV

IA.0242, HCBS Intellectual Disability

IA.0299, HCBS Brain Injury

IA.0345, HCBS Physical Disability

IA.0819, HCBS Children's Mental Health

IA.4111, HCBS Health and Disability

IA.4155, HCBS Elderly

DHS also provides §1915(i) services and strives to maintain consistency in QIS between these and the State's §1915(c) waivers.

Based on contract oversight and performance measure implementation, the IME holds weekly policy staff and long term care coordination meetings to discuss areas of noted concern for assessment and prioritization. This can include discussion of remediation activities at an individual level, programmatic changes, and operational changes that may need to be initiated and assigned to State or contract staff.

Contracts are monitored and improvements are made through other inter-unit meetings designed to promote programmatic and operational transparency while engaging in continued collaboration and improvement. Further, a quality assurance group gathers on a monthly basis to discuss focus areas, ensuring that timely remediation and contract performance is occurring at a satisfactory level. ISIS will only be utilized for fee-for-service members.

All contracted MCOs are accountable for improving quality outcomes and developing a Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) program that incorporates ongoing review of all major service delivery areas. The QM/QI program must have objectives that are measurable, realistic and supported by consensus among the MCOs' medical and quality improvement staff. Through the QM/QI program, the MCOs must have ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement activities aimed at improving the delivery of healthcare services to members. As a key component of its QM/QI program, the MCOs must develop incentive programs for both providers and members, with the ultimate goal of improving member health outcomes. Finally, MCOs must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438 Subpart E and the standards of the credentialing body by which the MCO is credentialed in development of its QM/QI program. The State retains final authority to approve the MCOs' QM/QI program. The State has developed a draft-reporting manual for the MCOs to utilize for many of the managed care contract reporting requirements, including HCBS performance measures. The managed care contract also allows for the State to request additional regular and ad hoc reports.

Iowa acknowledges that improvements are necessary to capture data at a more refined level, specifically individual remediation. While each contracting unit utilizes their own electronic tracking system or OnBase (workflow management), further improvements must be made to ensure that there are not preventable gaps collecting individual remediation. The State acknowledges that this is an important component of the system; however the terrain where intent meets the state budget can be difficult to manage.

The IME supports infrastructure development that ensures choice is provided to all Medicaid members seeking services and that these services are allocated at the most appropriate level possible. This will increase efficiency as less time is spent on service/funding allocation and more time is spent on care coordination and improvement. A comprehensive system of information and referrals ensures that all individuals are allowed fully informed choices prior to facility placement.

A comprehensive system of information and referrals shall also be developed such that all individuals are allowed fully informed choices prior to facility placement. Many program integrity and ACA initiatives will assist in system improvements. These include improvements to provider screening at enrollment, tighter sanction rules, and more emphasis on sustaining quality practices.

ii. System Improvement Activities

Responsil	ole Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Me	dicaid Agency	☑ Weekly

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis(check each that applies):
Operating Agency	₹ Monthly
Sub-State Entity	▼ Quarterly
Quality Improvement Committee	✓ Annually
✓ Other Specify: Contracted Entities (Including MCOs)	Other Specify:

b. System Design Changes

i. Describe the process for monitoring and analyzing the effectiveness of system design changes. Include a description of the various roles and responsibilities involved in the processes for monitoring & assessing system design changes. If applicable, include the State's targeted standards for systems improvement.

The IME has hired a Quality Assurance Manager to oversee the data compilation and remediation activities associated with the revised performance measures. The QA Manager and State policy staff address oversight of design changes and the subsequent monitoring and analysis during the weekly policy and monthly quality assurance meetings. Prior to dramatic system design changes, the State will seek the input of stakeholders and test/pilot changes that are suggested and developed. Informational letters are sent out to all relevant parties prior to implementation with contact information of key staff involved. This workflow is documented in logs and in informational letters found within the DHS computer server for future reference. Stakeholder involvement and informational letters are requested or sent out on a weekly/monthly/ongoing basis as policy engages in the continuous quality improvement cycle.

Unit managers, policy staff and the QA committee continue to meet on a regular basis (weekly or monthly) to monitor performance and work plan activities. The IME Management and QA committees include representatives from the contracted units within the IME as well as State staff. These meetings serve to present and analyze data to determine patterns, trends, concerns, and issues in service delivery of Medicaid services, including by not limited to waiver services. Based on these analyses, recommendations for changes in policy are made to the IME policy staff and bureau chiefs. This information is also used to provide training, technical assistance, corrective action, and other activities. The unit managers and committees monitor training and technical assistance activities to assure consistent implementation statewide. Meeting minutes/work plans track data analysis, recommendations, and prioritizations to map the continuous evaluation and improvement of the system. IME analyzes general system performance through the management of contract performance benchmarks, ISIS reports, and Medicaid Value Management reports and then works with contractors, providers and other agencies regarding specific issues. The QA committee directs workgroups on specific activities of quality improvement and other workgroups are activated as needed.

In addition to developing QM/QI programs that include regular, ongoing assessment of services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries, MCOs must maintain a QM/QI Committee that includes medical, behavioral health, and long-term care staff, and network providers. This committee is responsible for analyzing and evaluating the result of QM/QI activities, recommending policy decisions, ensuring that providers are involved in the QM/QI program, instituting needed action, and ensuring appropriate follow-up. This committee is also responsible for reviewing and approving the MCOs' QM/QI program description, annual evaluation, and associated work plan prior to submission to DHS.

ii. Describe the process to periodically evaluate, as appropriate, the Quality Improvement Strategy.

The IME reviews the overall QIS no less than annually. Strategies are continually adapted to establish and sustain better performance through improvements in skills, processes, and products. Evaluating and sustaining progress toward system goals is an ongoing, creative process that has to involve all stakeholders in the system. Improvement requires structures, processes, and a culture that encourage input from members at

all levels within the system, sophisticated and thoughtful use of data, open discussions among people with a variety of perspectives, reasonable risk-taking, and a commitment to continuous learning. The QIS is often revisited more often due to the dynamic nature of Medicaid policies and regulations, as well as the changing climate of the member and provider communities.

In accordance with 42 CFR 438 Subpart E, the State will maintain a written strategy for assessing and improving the quality of services offered by MCOs including, but not limited to, an external independent review of the quality of, timeliness of, and access to services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries. MCOs must comply with the standards established by the State and must provide all information and reporting necessary for the State to carry out its obligations for the State quality strategy. MCOs are contractually required to ensure that the results of each external independent review are available to participating health care providers, members, and potential members of the organization, except that the results may not be made available in a manner that discloses the identity of any individual patient. Further, MCOs must establish stakeholder advisory boards that advise and provide input into: (a) service delivery; (b) quality of care; (c) member rights and responsibilities; (d) resolution of grievances and appeals; (e) operational issues; (f) program monitoring and evaluation; (g) member and provider education; and (h) priority issues identified by members. In accordance with 42 CFR 438 Subpart E, the State will regularly monitor and evaluate the MCOs' compliance with the standards established in the State's quality strategy and the MCOs' OM/OI program. The State is in the process of developing specific processes and timelines to report results to agencies, waiver providers, participants, families, other interested parties and the public. This will include strategies such as leveraging the Medical Assistance Advisory Council (MAAC).

The HCBS Quality Assurance Unit (QAU) completes review of HCBS enrolled providers on a three-five year cycle. During the onsite review HCBS ensures personnel are trained in:

- -Abuse reporting
- -Incident reporting
- -Have current mandatory reporter training
- Individual member support needs
- -Rights restrictions
- -Provision of member medication

In addition HCBS QAU reviews the centralized incident report file, appeals and grievances, and any allegations of abuse. During the review of service documentation any incident identified in narrative which falls under the Incident description in 77.25(3), is required to have an incident report filed. The agencies tracking and trending of incident reports is also reviewed during the onsite review. Any areas the agency may be out of compliance in results in the requirement of a corrective action plan. HCBS gives the provider 30 days to submit a time limited corrective action plan which will remediate the deficiency. 45 days after the corrective action plan has been accepted HCBS follows up and requires the agency to submit evidence that the corrective action plan was put into place.